

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |  |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/788,002  | 02/16/2001     | Leo Driessen         | CS1089#SP               | 3636             |  |  |
| 7:  | 590 03/11/2003 |                      |                         |                  |  |  |
| The Black & Decker Corporation 701 East Joppa Road Towson, MD 21286 |                |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER         |  |  |
|   |                |                      | TRAN, LOUIS B           |                  |  |  |
|   |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |  |
|   |                |                      | 3721                    |                  |  |  |
|   |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003 |                  |  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



|   |   | Application N        | o. •    | Applicant(s)  |   |  |  |  |
|---|---|----------------------|---------|---------------|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |   | 09/788,002           |         | DRIESSEN, LEO |   |  |  |  |
|   |   | Examiner             |         | Art Unit      |   |  |  |  |
|   |   | Louis B Tran         |         | 3721          |   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication  | n(s) filed on <u>10 Ja</u>  | <u>anuary 2003</u> . |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  | 2b)☐ This   | s action is nor      | -final. |               | * |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims   |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in   | n the application.  |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.   |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are objected to.  |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| 11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>10 January 2003</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.  |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:   |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the pr   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No                      |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1)   | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: |                      |         |               |   |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3721

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment, Paper No. 8, received on 01/10/2003.

## **Drawings**

2. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 01/10/2003 have been approved. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Line 9 of the claims recites the limitation "characterised". It is assumed this is a typographical error.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 5. Claims 1-4, 7-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Van Laere (4,905,423).

With respect to claim 1, Van Laere anticipates a power tool comprising a body which houses a motor, and a first output shaft 5 operatively coupled to the motor and an attachment for engagement with the body seen in Figure 38, wherein the attachment includes an input shaft 175 for operative engagement with the first output shaft 5 of the body when the attachment is engaged with the body, and wherein the attachment includes a further output shaft 222 for transmitting rotational motion derived from rotational motion of the attachment input shaft 175, the power tool characterized by both the body and the attachment having a respective gear mechanism 13, 216, 220 for causing a fixed gear change in rotation speed as between the input and the output of the respective gear mechanism, the combination of the body the attachment thereby providing a power tool with a plurality of serially coupled gear mechanisms as described in column 20, lines 35-50 and column 36, lines 55-68.

With respect to claim 2, Van Laere anticipates wherein the gear mechanism 13 of the body is between the motor and the first output shaft 5.

With respect to claim 3, Van Laere anticipates wherein the gear mechanism of the attachment is between the attachment input shaft and the further output shaft as seen in Figure 38.

With respect to claim 4, Van Laere anticipates wherein the ratio of the input rotational speed to output rotational speed for each respective gear mechanism is fixed.

With respect to claim 7, Van Laere anticipates wherein the attachment is releasably engageable with the body.

Art Unit: 3721

With respect to claim 8, Van Laere anticipates a tool including a plurality of attachments, each one of which may operatively engage with the body.

With respect to claim 9, Van Laere anticipates wherein the gear mechanism of the body is operable to change a rotational ration from the motor to the output shaft of the body.

With respect to claim 10, Van Laere anticipates wherein the gear mechanism of the attachment is operative for changing a rotational ratio from the output shaft of the body to an output of the attachment.

With respect to claim 11, Van Laere anticipates a power tool comprising a body having a motor disposed therein, an attachment adapted to be selectively fixed to the body, a first gear arrangement 13 disposed within the body, the first gear arrangement operative for non-adjustably changing a rotational ratio from the motor to an output of the body and a second gear arrangement 216,220 disposed within the attachment, the second gear arrangement engaging and driven by the first gear arrangement when the attachment is fixed to the body, the second gear arrangement operative for non-adjustably changing a rotational ratio from the output of the body to an output of the attachment as seen in Figure 38 and 1.

With respect to claim 12, Van Laere anticipates wherein the body includes an output shaft 5 driven by the motor, the output shaft being controlled by the first gear arrangement 13.

With respect to claim 13, Van Laere anticipates wherein the output shaft is operable to engage an input shaft disposed within the attachment.

Art Unit: 3721

With respect to claim 14, Van Laere anticipates wherein the input shaft is controlled by the second gear arrangement.

With respect to claim 15, Van Laere anticipates wherein the first gear arrangement and the second gear arrangement cooperate to mediate the rotational speed of the power tool.

With respect to claim 16, Van Laere anticipates wherein the first gear arrangement is disposed between the motor and the attachment.

With respect to claim 17, Van Laere anticipates wherein the first gear arrangement and the second gear arrangement each include an epicyclic gearbox.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Laere (4,905,423) in view of Strohmaier (4,222,738).

Van Laere discloses the invention substantially as claimed including the above but does not explicitly show an epicyclic gearbox for each gearing mechanism.

However, Strohmaier teaches the use of an epicyclic gear box with a motor and tool attachment arrangement as in column 1, line 47 for the purpose of speed reduction through gear rations as in column 3, line 11.

Art Unit: 3721

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Van Laere with an epicyclic gear box in order for equivalent gear ratio transmission as is commonly practiced in the art.

8. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Laere (4,905,423) in view of Kress et al. (4,103,511).

Van Laere discloses the invention substantially as claimed including the above but does not explicitly show wherein the first output shaft and the attachment input shaft are splined for axial engagement with each other.

However, Kress et al. teaches a tool wherein the first output shaft and the attachment input shaft are splined for axial engagement with each other as seen in Figure 1 and 2 for the purpose of centering the corresponding attachment as described in column 4, lines 40-55.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a splined connection to Van Laere in order to achieve improved centering.

#### Conclusion

- 9. Applicant's remarks have been fully considered but are deemed moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3721

Page 7

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louis B Tran whose telephone number is 703-305-0611. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-6PM Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Ibt March 6, 2003 Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700